

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/517,648 | 03/03/2000 | Kevin J. Zhang | OCL0001US 5710 | | |
| 22849 | 7590 07/25/2003 | | | | |
| SCOTT W HEWETT 400 WEST THIRD STREET #223 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | PASCAL, LESLIE C | | |
| SANTA ROSA, CA 95401 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2633 | 7 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | ; | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|-----|--|--|--|
| • | | 09/517,648 | | ZHANG ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Leslie Pascal | | 2633 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | sheet with the c | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, howery within the statutory mini will apply and will expire Se, cause the application to | ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 l | <u>May 2003</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . 2b) Th | is action is non-fir | nal. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application | ۱. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)□ | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)🖾 | 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | 1100001400 | . (1) | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a)L | a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bute the attached detailed Office action for a list | ireau (PCT Rule 1 | 7.2(a)). | - | | | | |
| 14) 🗆 A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 | 5 U.S.C. § 119(e | e) (to a provisional application | ۱). | | | |
| |) ☐ The translation of the foreign language proceeds. The translation of the foreign language proceeds. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re | | tion Summary | | Part of Paper No. 7 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/517,648 Page 2

Art Unit: 2633

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1) Figures 1A-1B and 5
- 2) Figure 1C
- 3) Figure 2A
- 4) Figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 09/517,648

Art Unit: 2633

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 2. Further, if the applicant elects figure 1C, the following restriction requirement must also be met.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 8-9, drawn to an amplifier array which has the special technical feature of a first of a plurality of amplifier paths including a signal processing module and a second of the plurality of amplifier paths not including a signal processing module, classified in class 358, subclass 349.
 - II. Claims 1-3 and 11, drawn to an optical array with a plurality of paths arranged in cascade, having the special technical feature of an additional path between an bypass input port and a bypass output port, classified in class 398, subclass 82.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/517,648

Art Unit: 2633

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as it can be used in an add/drop multiplexer. Instant invention II as claimed would not be used as and add/drop multiplexer because the add and drop ports are connected together. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. It is regretted that the above restriction requirement was not made because of an oversight. If the applicant elects Species 2, he must also elect one of the groups from the restriction requirement, (for example, I elect species 2, and group II which includes claims 1-3 and 11).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Pascal whose telephone number is 703-305-4922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Friday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 703-305-4729. The fax phone numbers for

Application/Control Number: 09/517,648

Art Unit: 2633

Page 5

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Leslie Pascal
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2633

Lp July 18, 2003